

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,060	10/03/2003	Toshiaki Ariyoshi	IIW-032	IIW-032 7744	
959	7590 12/08/2006	•	EXAMINER		
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE			RUTHKOSKY, MARK		
	MA 02109-2127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1745	-	
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	س
۲	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/676,060	ARIYOSHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this comm 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Se	eptember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	secution as to the m	erits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Sta	ige			
Attachment(s)	· .					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	· ·			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claim 1 in the reply filed on 9/7/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examining all claims would not place serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because applicant's amended claims include fuel cells having different patentable features that require separate research and consideration. For example, claim 1 requires a plurality of cells in a specific arrangement with a plurality of separators, a processing circuit processing an electrical output signal and a connector that includes a plurality of slots that enclose the terminals. These elements are not claimed in the other inventions of the application. Therefore, claim 1 has been elected and will be examined accordingly. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection of claims 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Einhart et al. (EP 1,001,666) has been overcome by applicant's amendment.

The rejection of claims 2-3 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Early et al. (US 4,310,605) has been overcome by applicant's amendment.

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Einhart et al. (EP 1,001,666), in view of Tanaka et al (JP 09-283,166) and further in view of Early et al. (US 4,310,605.)

Einhart et al. (EP 1,001,666) teaches a fuel cell comprising a fuel cell stack including a plurality of fuel cells sandwiched by separators and electrically connected through the separators (figure 1.) The cells are arranged into clusters and include clusters of terminals extending from the separators. The fuel cell includes a processing circuit connected to the separator. A plurality of connector modules include a connector portion and a main body portion that is smaller in width than the connector portion (figures 4-7.) The terminal clusters are alternately provided at a first side of a first end of a separator and the other at a second side of the first end (see figure 3 and the corresponding text.) The fuel cell is enclosed in a case (col. 4, line 55, col. 7, line 55.) The circuit can be used to monitor operating parameters like current or voltage (p. 44.) Einhart et al. (EP 1,001,666) does not teach that the connector includes a plurality of slots enclosing the terminal.

Tanaka et al (JP 09-283,166) teaches a fuel cell stack including a plurality of fuel cells sandwiched by separators and electrically connected through the separators (figures 1-4.) The fuel cell includes a processing circuit connected to the separator through terminals (6) formed in separator holes on the same side of the fuel cell stack. A voltage-measuring device is connected to terminals of the separator plate. A connector and processing circuit are noted (abstract.) The reference does not teach that the connector includes a plurality of slots enclosing the terminal.

Application/Control Number: 10/676,060

Art Unit: 1745

Early et al. (US 4,310,605) teaches a fuel cell comprising a fuel cell stack including a plurality of fuel cells sandwiched by separators and electrically connected through the separators (figures 1-3.) The cells are arranged into clusters and include clusters of terminals extending from the separators (see col. 5, line 63-col. 6, line 13, figures 6-7 and 9.) A sheet body is disclosed between cell clusters. The fuel cell includes a processing circuit connected to the separators (figure 7.) A plurality of connector modules include a connector portion and a main body portion that is smaller in width than the connector portion (figures 6-7 and 9.) The terminal clusters are alternately provided at a first side of a first end of a separator and the other at a second side of the first end (see figures 6, 7, and 9 and the corresponding text.) The connector includes a plurality of slots enclosing the terminal (figures 3, 5 and the corresponding text.)

Early et al. (US 4,310,605) does not teach a casing enclosing the processing circuit and connector.

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a terminal connection to the circuit in Einhart, wherein the connector includes a plurality of slots enclosing the terminal as taught by Early (figures 3, 5 and the corresponding text.) The connection allows for a secure mating between the terminal and the circuit and the connecting of the terminals of the substacks. As noted in the reference, the conductive circuit is also used to transfer cooling fluid in a secure manner, while conducting electrons from the fuel cell. The terminals are taught in the art to be formed in the separator plate. The artesian would have found the claimed invention to be obvious in light of the teachings of the references.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's amendments have overcome the prior art of record. New rejections have been made based on the amended claims.

With regard to the Einhart reference, the figures disclose all of the separator terminals on the same side of the fuel cell (figures 1-2 and the corresponding text.) The terminal is taught to protrude from the separator in figure 3. The casing urges the circuit against the terminal to conduct electrons (col. 4, lines 25-end.)

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1745

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 571-272-1291. The examiner can normally be reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:30.) If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free.)

Mark Ruthkosky
Primary Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1745

21.2000